

FINAL REPORT

DRAFT: 7/31/2015

❖ Introduction

- Statutory Assignment from STELAR: “Identify, report, and recommend performance objectives, technical capabilities, and technical standards of a not unduly burdensome, uniform, and technology- and platform-neutral software-based downloadable security system designed to promote the competitive availability of navigation devices in furtherance of section 629 of the Communications Act of 1934.”
- FCC organized the working group as DSTAC with charter for this assignment. [Cite Charter.]
- Work of the DSTAC [history]
 - Membership
 - Public Meeting dates
 - Working Groups
 - WG1: Membership and assignment by staff
 - WG2: Membership and assignment by staff
 - WG3: Membership and assignment by staff
 - WG4: Membership and assignment by staff
 - *[Views by some regarding objection to scope and responses could go here.][Probably better in the burden analysis later.]*
- Explanation of Report
 - Chapter 1: WG1 review of the requirements expressed by various stakeholders
 - Chapter 2: WG2 review of the current technologies in use to distribute MVPD and OTT video programming
 - Chapter 3: WG3 review and recommendations regarding downloadable security system
 - Chapter 4: WG4 review and recommendations regarding non-security aspects, specifically with and without MVPD-controlled UI.
 - *Note/Index of ancillary information that was submitted during process, is publicly available, and will help explain some details. E.g. f2f presentations and referred-to documents.*
- Because there is (disagreement among members/no consensus was reached), this Report presents different system recommendations and analysis. The analysis of each system recommendation in Chapter 3 and 4 discusses the following aspects of the system proposals:
 - Performance Objectives, Technical Capabilities and Technical Standards
 - How system addresses technology- and platform-neutrality

- How system addresses software-based downloadable features
 - How system addresses uniformity
 - How system addresses “not unduly burdensome”
 - How system design promotes the competitive availability of navigation devices in furtherance of section 629
- ❖ **[INSERT EACH WG REPORT AS CHAPTER.]**
- ❖ **Analysis of WG3 proposals against WG4 systems**
- **Intro**
 - **4x: (1 per combo)**
 - Performance Objectives, Technical Capabilities and Technical Standards met by combination
 - How combo addresses technology- and platform-neutrality
 - How combo addresses software-based downloadable features
 - How combo addresses uniformity
 - How combo addresses “not unduly burdensome”
 - How combo promotes the competitive availability of navigation devices in furtherance of section 629
- ❖ **Conclusion**
- Chapters 3 and 4 reflect different system recommendations that address the assignment from STELAR.
 - [Member endorsement of combo recommendations???
- ❖ **Separate statements [if necessary. May not be needed if we follow above approach.]**